

# RSA<sup>®</sup>Conference2016

San Francisco | February 29 – March 4 | Moscone Center



Connect **to**  
Protect

SESSION ID: MASH-F01

## **Panel Session**

# **Wake-Up Call: Do Industry Analysts Actually Stifle Security Innovation?**



#RSAC

# Session Panelists



**Rick Gordon, Managing Partner, MACH37 Cyber Accelerator**



**Pete Lindstrom, VP Security Strategies, IDC**



**Adrian Sanabria, Sr. Analyst, Enterprise Security Practice, 451 Research**



**Rick Holland, Former VP and Principal Analyst, Forrester Research  
Current Vice President of Strategy, Digital Shadows**

# Pressing Questions for the Panelists



#RSAC

- Is there a role that industry analysts should assume in promoting adoption of new security product categories?
- How can analysts help or hurt the rate of adoption of important new product categories?
- Let's talk about the Gartner Magic Quadrant (MQ). Many would suggest that if there isn't a MQ for a product category, then the mainstream market won't budget for it. Is that true? Has Gartner gained too much leverage in this influencing dynamic?
- Security product innovators continue to develop new categories of products to address a rapidly evolving threat. Is the velocity of this innovation overwhelming the analyst product release cycle?
- Is it more difficult for a startup to succeed if it does not fit squarely into a covered market segment? Do you agree or disagree and why? How would you recommend products without Gartner's MQ message their value propositions?
- Is there ever a willingness in the analyst community to admit, "The stuff we told you to buy two years ago doesn't actually work now?"
- What do you believe the next logical product categories to emerge should be?
- How have you positively or negatively impacted the adoption rate of new products/capabilities within your customer base?
- For innovative startups who don't have a massive budget for analyst relations marketing, is there a proper way to approach an industry analyst to get help?